

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/708,605 | 03/15/2004 | Gregory Kaufman | KAUI | 2604 | |
| 23699 75 | 90 11/30/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| CLAUSEN M | ILLER, P.C | CHIN, PAUL T | | | |
| SUITE 1600 10S. LASALLE | STREET | . ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60603 | | | 3652 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/708,60 | 10/708,605 | | KAUFMAN, GREGORY | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | | PAUL T. (| CHIN | 3652 | | |
| Period f | The MAILING DATE of this communication | | | | ddress | |
| A SH WHIII - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR EXECUTION STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR EXECUTION STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR EXECUTION STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR STATUTORY WITH STATUTO | NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evi- tion. period will apply and w y statute, cause the app | HIS COMMUNICAT ent, however, may a reply t ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND | TION. the timely filed from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)[🗆 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | n 06 September 2 | 2005. | | , | |
| 2a)⊠ | | This action is n | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice ur | - | | • | • | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 又 | Claim(s) 1.3 and 5-11 is/are pending in the | he application. | | | | |
| , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-9 and 11</u> is/a | * * | n consideration. | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1,3,5 and 10 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | • | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election r | equirement. | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Exa | aminer | | | | |
| · · · | The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> is | | nted or b) objects | ed to by the Evamine | ar. | |
| 10/23 | Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | <i>.</i> | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | | • | | `FR 1 121(d) | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by t | | | | • • | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| | • | arojan prioriti | dor 351150 5 44 | 0(a) (d) a= (5 | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: | oreign phonty un | uer 35 U.S.C. § 113 | 9(a)-(d) or (t). | | |
| a) | 1. Certified copies of the priority docu | imente have hee | n received | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | | | action No | • | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | | | | l Ctaca | |
| | application from the International E | | | eiveu in this National | Stage | |
| * 9 | See the attached detailed Office action for | • | ` '' | aived | | |
| • | 500 the attached detailed Office action for | व ॥५६ ०। साट ६८।स | ned copies not rect | л v cu. | | |
| | | | | | • | |
| Attachmer | , , | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 | 49) | 4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma | nary (PTO-413) til Date | | |
| | ce of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ | | | nal Patent Application (PT | O-152) | |
| | er No(s)/Mail Date | • | 6) Other: | • | - | |
| | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed September 6, 2005, and the arguments presented therewith have been fully considered and they are persuasive. Therefore, the claim rejections have been withdrawn. However, the arguments are moots in view of a new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Figs. 1-5, readable on claims 1,3,5,and 10 in the reply filed on March 21, 2005, is acknowledged.
- 3. This application contains claims 6-9 and 11 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse filed on March 21, 2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1,3,5, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The exact meaning of the recited phrase "the auxiliary handle is free to pivot about an axis defined by the leading edge independent of the main handle" (claims 1 and 10) is not clearly understood. Figures 1 and 2 clearly show that the auxiliary handle (10) is pivotal at each aperture of a respective lug (39). Note that the auxiliary handle does not pivot at an axis defined by the leading edge and the recited phrase is misleading.

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 10, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams (725,905) in view of Storlie (Des. 270,612).

Williams (725,905) discloses a shovel and method for using comprising a blade (1) having a concave, forward-facing surface, a rear surface, and a straight leading edge (Fig. 3), a main handle (2) fixedly attached to the blade, and an auxiliary handle (3) pivotally attached to side lugs (6) and the auxiliary handle pivots on the lugs independent of the main handle. The pivot point (or the location) of the auxiliary handle is not at or near the leading edge. However, Storlie (Des. 270,612) teaches an auxiliary handle pivots at or close to the leading edge as shown in figures 14-19. Accordingly, it would have been an obvious to those skilled in the art to move the pivot point closer to the leading edge of Williams's shovel (725,905) as taught by Storlie (Des. 270,612) in order to provide a stronger support to a user for heavier loads. When a user scooped a load on the blade, the gravity of the weight applies blade. Therefore, providing the auxiliary handle near the leading edge of the blade, which is the opposite side of the main handle. would provide a user or users easier to carry or pull the blade more efficiently. Moreover, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to provide a second user to help pull the auxiliary handle to provide the first user who pushes or holds the main handle.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,605

Art Unit: 3652

8. Claim 1, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Pratt (3,119,596) (see IDS) in view of Konsztowicz (5,271,169).

Pratt (3,119,596) discloses a shovel and method for using comprising a blade (2) having

Page 4

a concave, forward-facing surface, a rear surface, and a straight leading edge (Figs. 1-

3), a main handle (4) fixedly attached to the blade, and an auxiliary handle (Figs. 1-3)

pivotally attached to side lugs and the auxiliary handle pivots on the lugs independent of

the main handle. The pivot point (or the location) of the auxiliary handle is not at or near

the leading edge. However, Konsztowicz (5,271,169) teaches an auxiliary handle

(49,20) pivots at pivot (19) or close to the leading edge to facilitate to remove more load

from the first position (Fig. 8) to the second position (Fig. 9). Accordingly, it would have

been an obvious to those skilled in the art to move the pivot point closer to the leading

edge of Pratt (3,119,596) as taught by Konsztowicz (5,271,169) in order to facilitate to

remove more objects such as snow.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 3 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Art Unit: 3652

12. Applicant's amendment (the addition of new limitations in claims 1 and 10) necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (571) 272-6922. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

15

PTC

/rd/. (1/20/09

PRIMARY EXAMINER